



#155
12-23-03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Simo Maenpaa
Serial No. : 09/894,803
Filed : June 29, 2001
Title : TREADMILL ARRANGEMENT
Examiner/Unit : Steve R. Crow/3764
Docket No. : TU1X-1-1029

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

DEC 12 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Dear Sir:

In response to the most recent Office Action in this case dated June 3, 2003, the Applicant acting through his attorney replies as follows:

I. REMARKS


In the Third Office Action in this case the Examiner first rejected Claims 3 through 14 under 35 U.S.C. §112 as not being properly supported by the specification. Secondly, the Examiner rejected those claims as being anticipated by either Huish et al. or Trulaske et al. Third the Examiner also rejected those claims as being obvious over Huish et al. or Trulaske et al. in

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence (together with all attachments and enclosures) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Fee Amendments, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dated: December 3, 2003


Signature

Craig Shea
Printed or Typed Name

BEST AVAILABLE COPY